

History Department Blooms, African Institute Activated



Staff of the new Institute: Mr. S. D. Malek (left) and Dr. D. Savage (right). Interested promoter Fr. Hugh MacKinnon sits in.

Rector Leaves for Tour of African Countries

At a press conference held on October 17th Loyola announced the intended formation of an Institute of African Studies. In connection with the new department it was also revealed that Rev. Fr. Patrick G. Malone, S.J., Rector of the college, will leave for a tour of Africa on October 24th. Loyola is the first college in Canada to announce the formation of such an institute.

The new Institute, the establishment of which was first proposed by the Rev. Fr. Hugh MacKinnon, S.J., Chairman of the History Department, and Dr. Donald Savage, a graduate of McGill and London Universities, will offer an extensive series of courses on the political and historical aspects of Africa. If the program proves successful it may also expand its scope to include sociological and anthropological studies of Africa.

According to present plans the Institute will officially start operating next September. It will be headed by Dr. Savage, assisted by Mr. A. Okon, a Nigerian who graduated from the University of Wisconsin and is now a Ph.D. candidate at McGill University, and by Mr. S. D. Malek, a native

of Pakistan.

When asked why Loyola intended to start the new institute, Dr. Savage first referred to the neglect of African history and sociology by European and North American Universities. He then added that African circles would be much more appreciative of such a study program by a Canadian University than of similar American efforts, since the latter would have political implications.

Fr. MacKinnon stated that he felt the History Department at Loyola should have an area of special interest. Africa was chosen since it is both suitable for the college and noteworthy in the world to-day. The French and English background of Montreal gives Loyola an additional advantage since France and England were the two principal colonial powers in Africa.

Reactions to the new Institute have been extremely favorable. The Canadian department of Foreign Affairs has already expressed its interest in Loyola's new effort. Dr. Savage noted that student interest in the new program would probably also be widespread, as is evidenced by the popularity of the courses on Africa and the underdeveloped nations in general presently offered at the college.

In order to study the feasibility of the Institute of African Affairs Fr. Malone will make an extensive tour of the African continent. Included in his itinerary are Uganda, Tanganyika, the Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland, Basutoland, Ghana and Senegal. He will visit Universities and colleges in all of these countries and in Tanganyika he will call on the Minister of Education.

Fr. Malone described it as a "hectic trip." His agenda includes examining African reaction to the Loyola program, finding an expert on Africa to lecture at Loyola, and studying the possibilities of increasing and improving aid to African students. Furthermore he will investigate the feasibility of establishing a system of close co-operation with Pius XII College in Basutoland.

Courses taught by the Institute will be only on the undergraduate level. If however in the future Loyola should receive the power to grant M.A.'s, the history department would offer an M.A. in African History.

Hanley Clashes with Questioners

"My car was stolen, not in Ste. Ann's, but in N.D.G.!" This was Mr. Frank Hanley's opening statement as he loquaciously addressed a spirited group of Loyola's men of Arts in the COTC mess on Wednesday night.

He proceeded then to introduce a trio of friends which included Mr. T. Finn, a Loyola High graduate, who gave some potent advice to the tune of "if you drink beer make sure that you run your mile the next day." Mr. H. Clark, brother of the Montreal Star's Gerald Clark, appeared with Mr. Hanley's troupe as a beginner in the Dale Carnegie course of speaking. Mr. Hanley thought that a collegiate audience would do him some good.

Mr. Hanley, who is perhaps continuing a tradition of appearing on the Loyola campus annually, offered himself willingly to the invigorating group as a sacrifice (if you are a Loyola Artsman) or as what might be termed a hero (if you are a Hanleyite). The Loyola boys first approached him on the currently controversial question of the world's Fair for which our mayor-until-November has recently pleaded in Paris.

None Island

Strangely the resulting discussion centered on Nun's Island and the ownership of such. According to the M.P.P. and city councillor this small portion of land had been recently purchased by a group of ambitious Jewish merchants for the price of 1¼ million dollars. Following the decision of the federal government that a bridge (the Champlain) would be constructed across the St. Lawrence at this point Mr. Hanley claims that these businessmen purchased this island with the intention of reaping the future profits of the properties. Mr. Hanley's solution? . . . EX-PROPRIATE Nun's Island for the World's Fair (it should be

noted that in an interview with this reporter ten minutes later Mr. H. claimed that this little hunk of land was not really necessary for the Fair.)

What else did Mr. Hanley utter? He said: "Mr. Duplessis was the best friend that the English Quebecer ever had . . . Why am I an M.P.? Well, first of all because I want Loyola to become a university. Also (he elaborated in length) I wish to promote the well being of the lower classes and offer guidance and assistance wherever possible."

Plato says that a characteristic of good is that it is useful. Mr. Hanley, despite his obvious political tendencies, is good.

Loyola to See Film Classics

Dr. Savage has announced that the cultural committee of the Loyola faculty will organize a film club early next month.

Working with co-chairman Ron Wareham, Dr. Savage is presently finalizing a program which will see the projection of some ten or so classics of the cinema during the year.

The program is aimed at faculty and students. Films will be shown on a non-subscription basis, with a regular admission fee for each feature.

Federation Revises Plans and Purposes

The Canadian Federation of Catholic College students started the year with a conference in Montreal. A temporary secretariate was set up pending the National Convention to be held early in 1963.

It was recognized at this meeting that the difficulties plaguing the federation since its inception in 1945 necessitate a broad reconstruction programme. In the past C.F.C.C.S. has suffered from a lack of continuity and a general ignorance by the students of what the organization is.

Purpose Fails

C.F.C.C.S., as the representative body of students on the Catholic campus, is active in Pax Romana, the International movement of Catholic Intellectuals, and also acts as an agent for World University Service and other similar organizations. The Federation's work is largely concerned with the intellectual apostolate on the Catholic Campus. Unfortunately in many member colleges it has been unsuccessful in this role.

The reconstruction program is based on two specific plans —

one to be implemented immediately, the other to take place over a two-year period. It is proposed that C.F.C.C.S. should join the other Catholic student federations in Canada in a truly national group. This would give students a powerful voice in Canada and help cut down expenses incurred by needless duplication of work and having a unified national secretariate as its final goal.

Volunteers Only

Plan number two consists of changing the basis of membership in C.F.C.C.S. Now every student on a member campus is automatically a member himself.

Under the new set up membership would be on a volunteer basis, very similar to that of the Newman Clubs. Dues would be paid by the members themselves thus insuring that only interested persons would join. It is felt that the organization can be much more successful on this "Club" basis than under the present system.

The changeover period would necessarily be lengthy because at present the federation depends on the funds allotted to it by the various colleges, and this cannot be changed immediately.

Under the Tower

With John McNinch

LAST Monday night the Assembly met and approved the budget for the coming year for thirty-seven thousand dollars. In past years this marked the cessation of discussion as to how the money should have been allotted. This year however, we are left facing a rather serious problem; the largest society on campus has been forced to accept an inoperative budget.

It is quite true that this year there seems to be an unnatural shortage of funds. A partial solution was reached by the decision to cut all non-essential items from the various budgets, and not to use the Student Activity Fee to pay for the Memorial Fund. This did not alleviate the demand for more money. The Finance Committee, in a desperate and irrational move, attempted to solve the problem by cutting the Loyola College Athletic Association's budget three thousand dollars. The Finance Committee is partially absolved by the fact that they have now confined the problem to only one place, while all the other societies have come away happy.

Thus the Assembly was left with what seemed to be an insoluble or, at best, a labyrinthic problem. A Committee was set up to investigate possible methods of raising the extra revenue. We do not intend to attempt a solution to the problem currently facing this Committee but we feel obliged to make a few comments on this situation.

The Assembly members' response was to propose a deal with the Administration. It was suggested that we agree to pay, through an increase in next year's Activity Fee, the money necessary to initiate a Memorial Fund, on condition that they supply the deficit amount needed for the L.C.A.A. this year. If we place ourselves in the Administration's position, the ludicrous aspect of this proposal is obvious. The Administration does not spend three thousand dollars because it has the guarantee that twenty-five hundred will be returned.

This Committee must not, to our minds, approach the Administration for a gift. This would be a disastrous mistake, as the Administration has entrusted the students with the control of their own funds. Thus any attempt to blatantly solicit a gift would be implicitly admitting the fact that students are unable to control their affairs.

It was also suggested that the Administration pay the honoraria which go to the coaches of the various sports. The argument advanced was that the coaches are hired by the institution and that they fulfill an administrative function. This is a reasonable solution, although this proposal might be scarcely more appealing to the Administration than the previous one.

Several other suggestions were made by the Assembly. The possibility of charging students for home games was advanced, although it is almost impossible to collect the total amount needed for the L.C.A.A. by this method. The possibility of raising the money through the Alumni Society was explored. Due to the precise nature of this organization, we are not too optimistic about this method.

The most workable solution, to our minds, is for the L.C.A.A. to operate on a deficit budget. The Administration would have to agree to loan the L.C.A.A. the deficit amount. The student government can offer the Student Activity Fee as collateral. Paying back the money loaned by the Administration might involve a slight raise in this Fee. But given this method, the student government would be a business-like client of the Administration, not an insecure child running to a benign father.

A Noteworthy Demise

THIS year, the number of publications put out by the student body of Loyola College is decreased by one.

No wild panic is indicated; in fact, it is quite sure that very few people will notice the sad demise that resulted in this depopulation.

This editorial is written for the purpose of serving notice upon the student body that AMALGAM, last year's magazine of essays, has met with its unhappy end.

AMALGAM, as you will (doubtfully) remember, was that little red booklet that appeared for the first time at the very end of last year. Its purpose was to print essays, on all topics, both from the faculties of Arts and from Science. It was decidedly academic in nature.

Perhaps for this reason, the magazine, although at first not making a striking impression upon the student Mind (sic) in general, was ultimately completely forgotten.

Don't get us wrong. We approve of this action wholeheartedly, if not in toto. For it provides us with a great deal to be quite upset over.

The AMALGAM, to its detriment perhaps, was an original idea. This in itself is not a good start for any student enterprise. Secondly, it attempted to be a volume of scholarly interest to the great body of scholars now attending this insti-


tution; this, of course, led to its liquidation.

If it were still in existence, the AMALGAM would serve quite a useful purpose, which is not and can not be served by either the NEWS or the much-benighted AMPHORA, both because of their space limitations and, indeed, the very nature of their content. It would have proved, or attempted to prove, that intellectual discussion above the level of cafeteria bibble-babble does, in truth, have a home in the bosom of this worthy institution.

Of no importance whatsoever are the arguments advanced by the downgraders of this magazine to the effect that, since it was poorly-written and not read at all, it is not worthy of being endowed with student funds. First of all, one questions the verity of these statements. One suspects that both of these declarations are based on hearsay, and do not represent well thought-out intellectual criticism. One does suspect this.

But more than that, there is the simple fact that if this criticism is true, it is even more damning to the student body's mental abilities. Loyola should be able to support a venture such as this. There should be enough maturity present in this institution to be able to produce enough essays, and enough readers, to keep an endeavour like AMALGAM alive.

There should be. Evidently, however, there is not.



"As far as I can figure each society should have about sixty dollars."

Hanley's Last Hurrah

FRANK HANLEY has come to be known as something of an institution around Montreal — as much, perhaps, because of his colorful personality as his suspected Tammany Hall-type politics.

It did not come as much of a surprise, therefore, when the Arts Society advertised their "Meet a Montreal Personality Nite," featuring Mr. Hanley; for at his canniest, he is a very shrewd politician, and at his most lighthearted, he is a very comical figure indeed. Consequently, the COTC mess was filled with enthusiastic Artsmen eager to pin down the wily ward boss with accusations and loaded questions.

For Frank, this type of thing was "old hat," and with the skill of a seasoned campaigner, he handled the infighting so adroitly as to confound and baffle them. For two hours, Hanley parried every controversial inquiry and deluded every trap set for him. But then again, this is Frank Hanley — veteran of twenty-five years of political warfare in St. Ann's district and successful spokesman for the once

largely predominant Irish section of the city.

Our interest, however, is not directed towards the man because of what he is, but because of what he represents. Frank Hanley is a political fossil — a relic of a bygone era. He embodies the rough and tumble type of Irish politician belonging to another generation. In this respect, he is a link for us with a colorful, often overly romanticized past. He is a figure of a man who, having risen above his working class neighbors in wealth and prominence, has nonetheless remained in confidence and contact with them. While "decent" citizens have often assailed his methods of reaching the top, his supporters have always rallied behind him one hundred percent.

This tough little Irishman belongs to a breed of politicians that is near extinction — a type so ably portrayed by Edwin O'Connor in his novel "The Last Hurrah." When the inevitable happens and Frank Hanley is no longer found on the political scene, an era will have come to a close. Hanley's last hurrah will close the book on a forgotten epoch.



A Member of the Canadian University Press

John McNinch '64
Editor-in-Chief

Carl Murphy '65
Managing Editor

Jim Hassinger '65
Feature Editor

Phil Content '63
News Editor


Brian Rochford '64
Sports Editor

Doug Kilgour '65
Photography

Bob Lewis '64
Cup Editor

STAFF: Paul Leblanc, Bob Mitchell, Andi Gifford, Rick Monette, Tony Pearson, Sean Kelly, Barry Spain, Remi Mariano, Mike Tremblay, Bob Lewis, Mo Colson, Eric Maclean, Yaroslav Panasiuk, Kev Johnson, Gord Mylks, Rick Monaghan, Jack Mundy, Brian McAsey, Richard Fleming, Kev O'Hara, Frank O'Hara, Jim Cullen, Pete Shea, Paul Rappell, Frank Thyssen, Pat Kenniff, Morris Racki.

Official newspaper of the students of Loyola College, Montreal. Published weekly during the academic year. Subscription \$1. Authorized as second class mail, Post Office Department, Ottawa, HU. 8-9551.



Loyola: a cosmopolitan student body

THE substantial influx of foreign students to Canada over the past few years is a situation replete with problems, success, failure and partial disinterest.

Loyola College, in virtue of its central location, is just now beginning to feel the need for concentration in this field. Among the questions at hand are items such as: 1) what steps can local campus organizations take to facilitate greater integration of foreign visitors into student activity; 2) how have the Canadian student and his foreign counterpart failed to meet half-way on this basis; 3) what role does sensible orientation and friendship play in this situation.

Recent statistics released by the Dominion Bureau do not belie the importance of the examination of these questions. According to the DBS, the median expenditure of these foreign students in Canada is \$1,996, while 38 percent of all incoming students live in this province. Almost 100 are here at Loyola this year alone. Clearly these figures are significant.

The most consistent trend of thought among students from abroad here at Loyola seems to indicate that no conscious effort is being made on the part of college organizations to justify these expenditures, through recognition and participation. The DBS goes on to say that over a third of the median expenditure of each student is a result of part-time earnings, summer employment, and loans involving the student himself. The law of reciprocals obviously calls for an opportunity for participation in campus life.

One science major from Kenya observed, "It is a totally new experience for me to be here in Canada. I appreciate the company of my fellow students immensely and, as a matter of fact, I feel right at home." This indeed is heartwarming information; however, the need goes far deeper than supplying these individuals with facts, figures, guided tours and companionship. Something, it seems, should be done about the monopolization of student activities. One student from Aruba remarked, "The organizations definitely don't go out of their way to recruit the new faces on campus. I

by
BOB LEWIS

found this particularly true when I first came here, but realize now that it is also up to the student to break from his natural tendency of sticking closely with fellow countrymen in order to make himself available to the various organizations."

ONE of the by-products of such incorporation into student activity would be a lessening of the criticism of Canada, so rampant among many visitors to this country. "After being here now for several years," one person remarked, "I find that my way of thinking has been changed. I have reached what one might call a good neutral viewpoint of the cross-section of cultures found here." He went on to add that, had he become integrated earlier in local activity, his reaction to the country in general, and the college in particular, would have been much more favorable.

As partial remedy to this situation, the SAC recognized the validity of most

action is the future plans of the foreign student. Many are solicitous for their own country — they wish to return. Others become Americanized to the extent that they don't wish to return.

Loyola's student body, having grown so much in the past years, has also gained a great deal in the numbers of its foreign students. This week, the NEWS examines their viewpoint.

criticism. President Jim Hayes said that he would like to see an organization like the International Students' Association bloom into a going concern that might incorporate some of the practical aims of organizations like World University Students. Although the comment was somewhat vague, it reflected the need for some constructive attempt to establish a starting point. The fact was also stressed that although these students are primarily guests, there is little reason to suppose that upon recruiting them, they would not become integral members of the active student body.

The prime indication of this inability of students from "both sides" to meet at a half-way point is convincingly mirrored in the fact that very few foreign students play any part in the 71 student committees, societies and teams on campus. It is unfortunate that we do have to rely on such an item as student activity as criterion; however as one student put it, "The big difference in attitude I notice here in America seems to rest in the over-emphasis on external organization and activity."

Aside from these general problems, there are a few other factors that are particular to various students. It is of prime interest that most of them have initiated their studies in the scientific field as opposed to the arts. Perhaps the American influence and its resulting barrage of dollar signs is responsible for this. Some students, however, are interested in the rejuvenation of their own under-developed countries. As one resident of the small island of Granada mentioned, "Most of my countrymen and others from small islands such as this are primarily concerned with the rehabilitation of their locale."

In moving away from home, many of them have realized a greater chance for opportunity; some value the advanced technical development of the facilities; still others have made the trek because of crowded educational accommodations.

One student stated, "The standards at home are not only high, but the institutions are crowded; thus advancement is difficult." With others it is a necessity. In Kenya, for example crowded conditions in the small colleges and financial difficulties have prompted the Canadian government to offer financial assistance, and for this many are grateful. "The people here are most friendly," says one. Or then again, "My reaction to the Canadian student is mixed. Some are most pleasant, others leave something to be desired. I feel that the people at home will go further out of their way to help you — perhaps it is because of the fast pace of this country." Perhaps it is; perhaps necessary adjustments haven't been made.


Another factor bearing mixed re-

extracurricular activity is appealing and distracting.

One Dominican even observed that he found integration into activities difficult due to the ironic fact that he is not considered foreign. For an individual such as this, the barriers of language and social relations are no less steep, yet the block still remains.

IN general though, the opinions run a course of unanimity. Opportunity, facilities, acceptance and advantage are high points. Some even like the weather. The Canadian student is primarily a friendly sort, is easy to meet, and his friendship is comparable to that back home. The pace, obviously a relative concept, is faster. The "American way of life" is generally appealing and is also relative. Upon his return home, the foreign student feels he wields an advantage. Some return with what they term "a bird's eye view" of the world. Outright social discrimination is sparse. Facilities are better and most students are not sorry that they have ventured forth.

In brief, the foreign student obviously derives benefit from his association with the Canadian student. It would seem that there is also an advantage to be gained on the part of the "host" as well. At any rate, it provides food for thought.



— pix by Frank O'Hara.

BUDGETARY

Dollars for the Dough-Nuts

October 11th and 15th mark the dates this year during which the Lower House met to hassle over the almighty dollar at the annual Budgetary Meeting.

The gruelling session lasted two sittings and consumed an exhaustive 11 hours and 55 minutes. The fact that the meeting did not last longer is due only to the prompt decisions and parliamentary knowledge of the Chairman, Allan Lufty; his ready wit kept the meeting going at an excellent pace.

Up for Approval

Having adopted the Standing Orders submitted by Mr. Lufty, the Assembly proceeded to accept "in principle" the formation of an honors student

figures of Frank Rosar, Finance Committee Chairman, and those of the NFCUS Chairman.

The item first deleted from the budget was the \$2,500 granted the Memorial Fund. The House felt that its acceptance was impossible under the straitened circumstances within which the Council found itself, although it was regrettable that such a worthy project had to be postponed for a second time.

Small increases to various societies during the course of the evenings shrank the newly-created \$2,500 surplus fairly steadily, and by the time that the Drama and LCAA budgets came up for review, only \$1,200 or so remained of the original figure. Of this, \$440.50 was allotted to the LCAA, the remain-



Assembly Chairman Al Lufty registers a glazed look at budgetary proceedings. Secretary Yaroslav Panasiuk retains a much-needed parliamentary demeanor.

society on campus, as well as an amendment to the constitution calling for elections for House faculty representatives in December rather than the conventional March or April. The amendment has been submitted to the Upper House for final approval.

The first budget presented for consideration was that of the SAC. A motion that the services of the Executive Secretary be dispensed with this year was defeated after the President threatened to consider its acceptance as a vote of non-confidence; the hiring of a secretary had been one of the highlights of his electoral platform.

No Cohesion

The NFCUS budget caused much confusion, and this is probably attributable to the lack of cohesion between the


der having been absorbed by the Drama Society.

LCAA Starved

The House then moved to give Mr. Grazys carte blanche to form a committee which would investigate possible means of earning additional funds for the financially starved LCAA.

A total of thirty budgets was presented by the Finance Committee during the course of the meeting, of which only two were refused totally, although many partially; the Acton Society was granted official status as an organization that it might receive a budget.

The budget was balanced at \$37,500, of which \$36,825 was actually distributed, \$175 frozen for Drama pending the report of a committee on sound, and \$500 placed aside as a surplus for next year.



ALLOTMENT


	1962 Allotment	1962 Proposed	1961 Allotment
LCAA	13,440.50	13,000	13,643
SAC	5,213	7,713	5,210*
Review	3,797	3,500	6,089**
News	3,500	3,500	6,583
Drama	2,117	2,157	3,560
CAB	1,275	1,275	
P. A. System	1,100	1,100	
NFCUS	1,052	870	
Cult & Social	1,000	700	
Sodality	500	500	618
Directory	400	400	
Bull Boards	350	350	
UMUN	350	350	
FRA	300	300	
IAS	300	230	298
CFCCS	285	55	
Pub Rel	280	350	
Handbook	250	250	
Commerce	201	250	***
Science	199	166	***
Dark Room	175	175	
Awards	150	150	
Debating	146	146	50
Pre-Med & Dent	120.50	125	167
ISA	120	00	
Arts	117	89	***
Acton	107	107	
Folk Music	95	95	
AIIESEC	90	90	
Awards Banquet	0	225	

*The budget of 1961 for SAC included CAB, NFCUS, Cultural & Social, Bulletin Boards, UMUN, FRA, CFCCS, Public Relations, and Awards Committee.

**The 1961 allotment for this and several other societies is large because they included the revenue from ads, admission prices, etc.

***The figure of the 1961 allotment for the three faculties combined is \$524.50.

— — — The "proposed" line indicates the Finance Committee's recommended revisions before the Assembly voted — not the society's original requested budget.



Fiscal Focus: An Editorial

The LCAA President seemed visibly shaken; certainly the LCAA had just sustained a most severe financial setback. The Association was suffering the growing pains native to Loyola in general; its plans were bigger than ever, but the amount of money allotted it to carry out these plans was less than the sum of the previous year. One could not help but sympathize with Mr. Grazys.

Thus the effects of Austerity, Loyola style.


Mathematically, the Finance Committee faced an impossible task, if not a thankless one. The prospect of maintaining a balanced budget would have bordered on the ludicrous but for the timely withdrawal of the Memorial Fund from the statement. This "created" an additional \$2,500 at the very outset of the meeting, and permitted many societies to present additional requests for money.

The fact that the Memorial Fund was entered at all into

The method used by the President, i.e., to consider the firing of Mrs. Alden as a vote of non-confidence, to achieve his end was, to our mind, entirely unfair.

One of the most ironic situations that developed during the course of the first evening occurred when NFCUS Chairman Ron Lefebvre DEMANDED a \$5 cut in one of the sums allotted him by the Finance Committee. The Assembly, ignoring his almost violent protests to the contrary, steadfastly refused to grant him the cut, although the Lower House was desperately in need of more funds to redistribute.

Towards the end of the second evening a motion was made to introduce three new budgets into the statement. The motion was defeated, whereupon Chairman Lufly promptly categorized the motion as being an emergency motion, and entered the supplementary budgets into the Orders of the Day.



Motley mélange determines financial boundaries within which all campus activities must operate.

the budget is surprising considering the abbreviated total of funds that was at the disposal of the Council. Fortunately, the Lower House saw fit to reject the Fund at this particular time because of the circumstances surrounding the item. It should be noted that the Fund itself is considered a most worthwhile project, and was not rejected in principle.

The general increase in the SAC Budget had several good reasons behind it. For one thing, in keeping with the platform that President Hayes had adopted prior to his succession to the SAC Presidency, a substantial sum was placed aside as salary to the recently-hired Executive Secretary; for another, the formation of a student Cultural Committee necessitated an additional \$1,000 allotment to the SAC.

The method that President Hayes employed to assure defeat of the motion to terminate the period of employment of the Executive Secretary, however, one might term not too original, and certainly, uncalled for. The issue at stake was the necessity of a salaried employee in the SAC, and did not at all concern or imply a vote of non-confidence in Mr. Hayes.

The feeling prompting the Chairman to his action was certainly one of sympathetic understanding with the new petitioners, and the sum of money collectively allotted them did not amount to much. But there arose the setting of a somewhat unparliamentary precedent, considering that the Assembly had decided at the very beginning of the meeting in what order the budgets would be presented to the Assembly, and that no new matter could be introduced into the Orders of the Day without a two-thirds majority of the House, which was not given.

Herein lies the crux of the matter, that many societies have sprung up suddenly requiring money for operation, while the Council has not sufficient funds to support these societies in the style that they would wish. An attitude of reasonableness is required in such a case — not the money-grabbing technique applied at the Budgetary Meeting. The prevalent theme was "Let's take it out of their budget so that we can put it into ours." This is not, nor ever will be, a policy inherent in the student council of a well-rounded university.

ANALYSIS



Despondent Savior or Despotic Savage?

SAC President Jim Hayes, whose omni-present voice pervades Assembly policy even when it is not vocalized, rises to assert his views (and threats) on the confused matter of secretaries.

Remembrance of things to come

or
a child's garden
of eggplant

with Jim Hassinger

Be it known to all readers that the eggplant is a very avid student of the English language. (It must be quite clear by now that he is only a student; cf. the last twelve 'eggplant' columns. But this is quite beside the point. Onwards.)

In his vast and all-consuming search for the demon knowledge, the eggplant has stumbled across a stupendous find. He is quite confident that this discovery will rank with the uncovering of the ruins of Troy in the last century, the decipherment of linear 'B', and the little-known (but nonetheless well-received) discovery of the English language by Professor Nikolai Abramovitch in 1932. As the eggplant says:

"It's strange how my discovery was really largely a matter of chance: it happened during one of my routine nights of study. I had gone to the archives in my fabulous 100,000 volume library, for a little research on the Wordsworth papers. You see, in my spare time I'm turning out a popular book on the Romantics. Nothing too involved, just a few hundred pages.

At any rate, I was leafing through the letters of 1798, when there it was. Right in front of my eyes. Bang. Just like that. The greatest find of the century.

The famous 'missing stanza' from the poem, "We Are Seven."

Of course, you may well imagine how I felt at the sight of this document. The repercussions of it will be heard wherever Wordsworth is studied; new light is shed on his message to the world; and I had the paper right in my hand. Or rather, right in my left hand.

At any rate, I felt compelled to write a scholarly paper on the implications of this stanza, the text of which reads more or less like this:

'As any but the most incredibly naive rustic must know, the poem "We Are Seven" by William Wordsworth is an account of a dialogue between a small, innocent girl and a grown man upon the nature of death. The poem begins with the man asking the little girl how many children are in her family. The little girl says that there are seven: herself, two that now live in Conway, two that have gone to sea, and two that lie in the country churchyard. Presumably, these two children are dead.

The adult is somewhat stunned at this arithmetic; from this we might conjecture that this man is some kind of schoolmaster. Be this as it may, he replies that one obviously can't count those children who, as the poem presumes (perhaps unwisely), "are in heaven". There must be only five children in her family. Two are dead.

From there on the poem merely reiterates itself, seventy-four times.

Oh. There is something I quite forgot, this being a scholarly essay. (1)

To get on, the interpretation given this poem usually is that there is some affinity between the land of the living and the land of the dead, which grown-ups forget, in their constant pre-occupation with the sensible. Or something like that.

However, there are numerous passages in the (2) poem that cause us a little difficulty, if we were to follow this interpretation to the letter. (3)

Most outstanding of these is the passage where the girl makes this unavoidably horrible confession:

"Together round her grave we played,
My brother John and I."

This, and other examples, show the child to be obviously quite deranged. Now the newly-discovered stanza completes the sense. The child, repugnant little animal that she is, makes the assertion for the umpteenth time that there are indeed seven children in her family:

"The little Maid would have her will,
And said, "Nay, we are seven!"

And now the until-now-omitted stanza, in which the adult speaker replies, completely out of patience:

"'Avaunt thee, churlish child,' I cried,
Anon her wagon did I fix;
And o'er her batter'd form I sighed,
'You little beast, now you are six!'"

Thus in this essay, I have shown beyond a doubt that this poem was not intended as a praise of simplicity, but a bitter diatribe against this evil, Lenny Bruce-like girl. Thank you. Don't forget your coats on the way out."

(1) Footnotes, of course.

(2) Oxford Universal Dictionary, word no. 6578.

(3) W.

As are all great upheavals in the world of art, this essay was rejected by the English department as a master's thesis. "What a lot of garbage!" said one professor.

"I am not for this century" says the eggplant.

LETTER

In Praise of Praise

Dear Sir,
This morning Father Malone called me:

"Well, the students have finally taken notice of a book..."

"There's a spread about 'Loyola and Montreal' in the NEWS."

That, I can admit, made me uneasy: "Did I get by?"

"Yes, I'd say so. In fact, the students didn't do badly at all. I'll send you a copy."

Now that, if I know Father Malone's vocabulary, is just about superlative praise.

I have just read your review of my book on Loyola, and I am writing to thank you. It is very generously treated, and your writing carries an atmosphere of its own that, I feel, is appealing. Indeed, yours is the most readable of the criticisms on it that I have seen — and candid too.

I would just like you to know that I appreciated it very much.

With best wishes to all on the Loyola News,


Sincerely,

T. P. Slattery,
Loyola '31.

Ed.: The NEWS appreciates this acknowledgement of its feature section. We are extremely grateful for Mr. Slattery's kind words. But we refuse to admit that this is the first time that the NEWS has 'taken notice of a book'.

FOR SALE

Royal Typewriter, office model, in excellent condition. See Pat Conlon, Central Advertising Bureau or call HU. 8-9551, local 346.



Fri., Oct. 19

Jerome T. Gaspard, Consul General of the United States, will address the student body.

Tues., Oct. 23

Senator Charles Power, Honorary Chairman Acton Society, will speak at the SAC banquet.

NEXT WEEK

Richard Holden, Independent Candidate for Provincial Election, will speak.

THE MILDEST
BEST-TASTING
CIGARETTE

Player's Please



your Castles in the Air
have foundations on the ground
when you save regularly at the
CANADIAN IMPERIAL
BANK OF COMMERCE

OVER 1260 BRANCHES TO SERVE YOU

MP-801



The schnozz says: "Don't fight it — it's bigger dan both of us. Namely, if yer from Loyola, it's the red carpet fer ya at me brudder Jack's, corner Melrose an' Sherbrooke!"

Where Irish eyes are smilin' -
Jack Danaher
BP SERVICE STATION
5815 Sherbrooke West



On The Warpath

with Ratch

BUDGET OR BURGLARY . . .

Undoubtedly it wasn't meant as a joke, but it might as well have been a joke — the recently revised and approved Loyola College Athletic Association's budget. Simply the story reads: the LCAA asked for \$17,858.23 after actually receiving \$14,373.00 last year; however, the net take this year was a far-short \$13,440.50.

How come? President of the LCAA, Al Grazys, summed up the situation very well: "Everyone needed money." Then he displayed a little ire at the LCAA being the victim of the lack of funds: "Our budget was the first to be drafted and first to be submitted. In my mind, the Finance Committee didn't handle the situation well at all. All they did was cut; and there is no possibility of us cutting our budget any more — if we are to operate on only \$13,000 it will probably mean the dropping of a major sport — either hockey or basketball."

This 'situation' can't be blamed on anyone. However it can be blamed on something: Loyola is at an awkward stage — there are enough students to warrant the existence of all the societies on campus — but there are not enough students to make the societies pay for themselves.

COMMITTEE TO BE CONTINUED . . .

Presently, a committee has been formed to try to round up the necessary money the LCAA will need to operate in the forthcoming year. This is its primary aim; however, once this committee has secured the money by whatever way feasible and possible, it should not be abolished but should continue to act as a committee in the hope that they will alleviate this 'situation' once and for all.

In my mind, the correction of this problem is as important as, if not more important than, the securing of funds for another year's operation. Maybe, in a long sighted way, it was fortunate that the LCAA came out on the short end budget-wise. For it would appear that a relatively simple answer to this 'situation' could be proffered and it would apply only to the LCAA and to no other society.

KENNEDY'S CURE . . .

The most likely answer to this 'situation' has been offered by Loyola's Director of Athletics, Jack Kennedy. Mr. Kennedy suggested that the financing of the Athletic Association be put in the hands of the Administration, as it exists in most other universities and colleges. Evidently the Administration is very much concerned with athletics if they hire a full time Athletic Director, yet this same Director is dependent upon the SAC for money to carry out his intentions. It would be a far more coherent system if Mr. Kennedy received the money straight from the Administration, who in turn, could charge a set fee at the beginning of each year specifically for athletics, much like the library fee. Thus, the LCAA could be financed by the Administration yet run by an Athletic Directorate — made up of the Athletic Director who would also represent the Administration, the LCAA and IAC Executive as well as a representative from each Varsity team.

CHANCES ARE . . .

. . . that the Varsity Tennis team comprised of Captain Dave Welsh, Louis Leprohon, Larry Guilbrod, Neil Lavoie and John Vila will be in search of another OSL Tennis Championship this week-end at College Militaire Royal.



Jack Kennedy



LEFT: Junior Varsity Coach
Jean-Claude Vadeboncoeur



RIGHT: Varsity Coach
Jack Winters

Hoopsters Now Practicing

Last Monday night, the basketball Warriors, 1962-63 edition, held their first practice. Under the guidance of Jack Winters, the new coach, the Warriors with many new faces, set out on what could be a very good year.

Last season, the Warriors finished third in the OSL League behind Sir George Williams and Carleton, and they also finished third in the MBL behind McGill and Sir George. They were hurt not only by injuries to key players throughout the campaign, but also by a lengthy schedule, for they played in the Can-Am League besides the OSL and MBL.

The returning letterman, all seasoned players, could very well be Loyola's ticket to the championship. George Lengvari, the starting center is back for his fourth year on the Varsity after spending part of the summer in North Carolina picking up a few key pointers. Neil Lavoie, this year's Varsity captain and number-two man in the OSL scoring race last year, hasn't lost his touch from the corner judging by his performance at last Monday's practice.

Stan Wasserman, a West Hill grad, with two year's experience on the Varsity will add considerable depth at forward, while Harry Hus, who is always a keen competitor will join the team when he recovers from an injured ankle. Harry was a late season flash on the team last year and because he is devoting all of his time, sportswise, to basketball this year, he feels that this will be his best season. Charlie "Tombstone" Smith, winner of "Rookie of the Year" award last season, shows lots of promise and will certainly be an asset to the Warriors.

Coach Winters told the NEWS that both the Varsity and the Junior Varsity will be practicing together for at least two weeks. Jean Claude Vadeboncoeur, who was a star for the Warriors the last three years is now coach of the Junior Varsity team. Jean Claude was captain of last year's Varsity team and without a doubt was the best basketball player that Loyola has had in many years.

Practices Well Attended

On Monday there were twenty-three men out, and the manager, Bob Daly, said that several more would be out later. Among these are Dan Sweeney, Ron Markey, Pete Howlett and letterman Al Grazys. Some of the aspirants who have a good chance of breaking into the Varsity line-up are, Jim and John Renahan, Pete Tous-saint, Neil Fitzpatrick and Pierre Senecal.

The over-all prospects for this year's team are excellent. The rookies look good and the lettermen are all solid players. Under the authority and coaching know-how of Jack Winters the Loyola Varsity Team could go all the way.

MOST LIKELY TO SUCCEED



The student who makes good use of the services of the B of M gives himself a big boost towards ultimate success. Regular deposits in a B of M Savings Account will build you a financial reserve for future opportunities; while proper use of a Personal Chequing Account will keep your finances in line. See your neighbourhood B of M branch soon.



BANK OF MONTREAL

Canada's First Bank

WORKING WITH CANADIANS IN EVERY WALK OF LIFE SINCE 1817

U6-62



EXPORT

PLAIN
or FILTER TIP
CIGARETTES



Smoothest thing on paper

If your North-Rite "98" doesn't write as long as you think it should, we will send you a new refill — FREE!

North-Rite "98" ONLY **98c**

**Bored?
Nothing to do?**

**Remember
ROSE BOWL LANES**

6510 Upper Lachine Road,

just a short jaunt from the campus

Ravens Ravished

OCTOBER 13, 1962.

The Loyola Warriors posted their second consecutive win on defeating the Carleton University Ravens by a score of 4-1 in last Saturday's game. A prevailing wind throughout the game ruled out any chance of effective ball control and thus both teams resolved to use forceful means of getting control of the ball. The result, a rough tough hard fought game.

The Warriors off to a pathetic start smartened up however as Carleton scored the first goal inside the ten minute mark. Carleton's Osborne picking up a loose ball let go a relatively slow shot which caught Buechner by surprise. Buechner in a desperate attempt got his hand on the ball as he tried to push it out but unfortunately it was not hard enough as the ball rolled into the left corner.

One goal down the Warriors began to put more initiative into their play as they realized that a loss would be disastrous if they are to regain any chance for the championship. Sure enough, the Warriors came through and scored their goals in quick succession.

Sohni tied the score at the 19 minute mark of the first half. Ndalo, shifted from his regular position at defence to the front line, got away a good pass to Sohn at centre who drove a hard ball into the lower left corner of the net.

Three minutes later, Summers scored on a high ball which hit the cross bar and caromed in, to put the Warriors up 2-1. The last goal of the half was scored at the 26 minute mark. Sohn, although getting into the clear by beating the Carleton defence, was forced to shoot from an extremely sharp angle and managed to put the ball just inside the left corner. This was Sohn's second goal of the game and fourth of the season.

The second half evolved into a hard hitting close checking game as both teams put on the pressure. As a result countless attempts by the Warriors front line of Sohn, Summers, DiLallo, Mykytiuk, Ndalo, Kelebay were wide.

Sparked by team captain



ABOVE: An unidentified Warrior compares boots with an also unidentified Carleton Raven.

RIGHT: The Carleton goaler enfolds the ball as LUKAS NDALO rushes in hoping for a stray ball.

Kelebay the Warriors became more spirited in the second half. The hitting became harder and two Carleton players were carried off the field with minor ankle injuries before the game ended. Both defencemen Fusco and Melnyk played a strong defensive game.

But, as Carleton did not make use of their scoring opportunities the Warriors certainly did. Late in the game Yarema Kelebay let go a loose ball along the ground which passed through at least two pairs of legs and to everyone's dismay rolled into the net untouched. This was the only score in the second half as the Warriors came out on top 4-1.

Soccer Squad Miss Big Chance

Warriors Welled

OCTOBER 17, 1962.

The Loyola Warriors saw their championship hopes dim as they were defeated 6-2 by the McGill Redmen on a sparsely lit and poorly conditioned field. From the first whistle, the Warriors could not get organized against the faster McGill team. The Warriors also experienced a night when nothing clicked for them as their passing was continually off target.

The Redmen dominated the first half as they consistently outplayed the Warriors and continuously broke through a disorganized defence. The local team were not completely without scoring opportunities, but were totally unsuccessful in their attempts. As a result, the Loyola entry was down five points at the half.

The scoring opened at the two minute mark of the first period as McGill's Visser broke through to score unmolested. From then on it was McGill's ball, as they turned the first half into a one-sided affair by out-shooting the Warriors and scoring four more goals. McGill's other goals were scored by Visser (his second), two by Williams, Marin and Hardy.

The Warriors had only two good opportunities in the first half. Early in the game a shot was caught by the McGill goalie, while the other blast was wide of an open net.

Warriors Strike

The second half saw more consistent play, with more play-making on the part of the visiting Loyola Warriors. As a result the Loyola team had more opportunities and were able to pull themselves out of the slump by scoring two goals.

McGill opened the scoring at the two minute mark. It was only at the twelve minute mark that the Warriors replied with their first goal, when Sohn completely beat the goalie. Five minutes later, Summers, taking a ball from Kelebay, scored on a similar play. From then on the pace slackened as the Redmen settled down to a defensive display to protect their lead.

The Warriors now stand to lose their championship unless the Redmen can be defeated. The latter, undefeated in league play thus far, hold down sole position of first place. There is however a slight possibility for the Maroon and White to make a run at McGill as two of their players are tentatively ineligible. A definite ruling is currently being sought.

Warriors next game is against Sir George Williams next Wednesday on the campus.



Kostin Leads Football Team In Win Over Gaels

The Loyola Warriors opened their 1962 Ottawa-St. Lawrence campaign by registering a convincing 40-18 win against St. Pats in Ottawa last Saturday. The Maroon and White team combined good tackling and consistent running power to hand the Gaels their second defeat of the season.

Sharing the scoring honours for Loyola were quarterback Mike Kostin with two majors, while Pete Howlett, Paul St. George, George Poirier, and Dave McIninch got one a piece. Highlighting the Warriors' ground attack was the power of fullback Pete Howlett and the end-around running of left half George Poirier which repeatedly brought gains of 30 to 50 yards. Credit is also due to halfback John Hogan who had two long touchdown runs called back.

The defensive unit displayed a team effort by having five or six tacklers on the majority of the opposition's ball carriers. Jim Newman played a steady game throughout as did teammate Pete Connolly, intercepting two of the Gael's passes. Bob Bourgeault, who played his usual strong game on the line, was hampered by a back injury which leaves him as a doubtful starter for the next


game. The only bright spot in the opposition's lineup was the quarterback Robin Ritchie who managed to complete a number of passes beyond the Loyola defenders.

Also on the injury list as a result of the game is Mike Green, suffering torn ligaments which will most probably leave him out of the lineup, in what appeared to be his best season in three years of college play. Al Grazys and Bill Costello are still side-

lined, and both of them did not see any action in the St. Pats game. There is a good chance that Costello will return to the practice field early next week provided there are no complications resulting from his shoulder separation.

The Warriors, in the meantime, will have this coming week to prepare for their first home game which will take place a week from tomorrow on the campus against the MacDonald Aggies.

The visitors, at this date, have a 1-1 record as a result of a win over St. Pats and a loss to Bishops. Warrior coach Jack Kennedy emphasized the fact that the opposition will be going all out because "Macdonald was upset by Bishops, and their only chance now is to win against us on the 27th." With another week before the next game, the Loyola team should be able to get a few of the injured players back in the lineup.



GEORGE POIRIER (86) rounds the end as his blocking led by BOB BOURGEAULT (on ground), PETE HOWLETT (75) and PETE SHEA (76) line up their targets.